

1-

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| FC I | \$10,000 | \$12,000 | \$14,000 | \$16,000 |
| operating Cost | \$3,000 | \$2,800 | \$2,350 | \$2,100 |

ROI > 15

* Compare [1] & [2]
=

$$ROI = \frac{3,000 - 2,800}{12,000 - 10,000} \times 100 = 10\% < 15\%$$

[2] is cancelled

* Compare [1] & [3]

$$ROI = \frac{3,000 - 2,350}{14,000 - 10,000} \times 100 = 16.25\% > 15\%$$

[1] is cancelled

* Compare [3] & [4]

$$ROI = \frac{2,350 - 2,100}{16,000 - 14,000} \times 100 = 12.5\% < 15\%$$

~ [3] is the best choice.

Cost of Q = \$0.34,000,000 Btu

ROII > 15%

300 days/yr = 300 * 24 hrs/yr

| | 1" | 2" | 3" | 4" |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| $\frac{\text{Btu}}{\text{hr}}$ saved | 300,000 | 350,000 | 370,000 | 380,000 |
| $\frac{\text{Btu}}{\text{yr}}$ saved | 2.16×10^9 | 2.52×10^9 | 2.664×10^9 | 2.736×10^9 |
| Cost of Btu's saved | \$ 648 | \$ 756 | \$ 799.2 | \$ 820.8 |
| → Cost of ins. | \$ 1200 | \$ 1600 | \$ 1800 | \$ 1870 |
| Annual fixed (%) charges | 10% | 10% | 10% | 10% |
| Annual fixed (\$) charges | \$ 120 | \$ 160 | \$ 180 | \$ 187 |
| → Total saving | \$ 528 | \$ 596 | \$ 619.2 | \$ 633.8 |

(i) Compare ① & ② :

$$\text{ROII} = \frac{596 - 528}{1600 - 1200} \times 100 = 17\% > 15\%$$

∴ 2" is better than 1"

(ii) Compare ② & ③

$$\text{ROII} = \frac{619.2 - 596}{1800 - 1600} \times 100 = 11.6\% < 15\%$$

∴ 2" is better than 3"

(iii) Compare ② & ④

$$\text{ROII} = \frac{633.8 - 596}{1870 - 1600} \times 100 = 14\% < 15\%$$

∴ 2" is The best choice

| | Boiler & steam turbine | gas turbine |
|----------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| → Initial investment | \$ 600,000 | \$ 400,000 |
| Fuels /yr | 16,000 | 23,000 |
| Maintenance /yr | 12,000 | 15,000 |
| Insurance tax /yr | 18,000 | 12,000 |
| Service life | 20 | 10 |
| Salvage value | 0 | 0 |
| depreciation /yr | 30,000 | 40,000 |
| Manufact. Cost /yr | 76,000 | 90,000 |

$$ROI = \frac{90,000 - 76,000}{600,000 - 400,000} \times 100 = 7\% < 12\%$$

∴ Gas turbine is better

4-

old unit

$$V_o = \$40,000$$

$$V_s = \$1,000$$

$$n = 15 \text{ years}$$

$$d = \frac{40,000 - 1,000}{15}$$

$$= \$2,600/\text{yr} \rightarrow \underline{\text{old}}$$

$$\text{Cost now} = \$5,000$$

$$d = \frac{5,000 - 1,000}{10} = \$400$$

new unit

$$V_o = \$70,000$$

$$\text{increase in income} = \$5,000/\text{yr}$$

$$\text{labour saving} = \$7,000/\text{yr}$$

$$\text{additional tax \& ins} = \$1,000/\text{yr}$$

$$n = 12$$

$$V_s = \$1,000 \rightarrow d = \frac{70,000 - 1,000}{12}$$

$$d = \$5,750/\text{yr}$$

→ new → the region of comparison

$$\begin{aligned} \text{* annual saving} &= \$5,000 + 7,000 - 1,000 - (5,750 - 400) \\ &= \$5,650 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{* additional investment} = 70,000 - 5,000 = \$65,000$$

$$ROI = \frac{5,650}{65,000} \times 100 = 8.7\% < 15\% \rightarrow \text{don't replace}$$

old

$$V_0 = \$600$$

$$V_n = \$100$$

$$n = 5 \text{ yrs}$$

$$d = \frac{600 - 100}{5}$$

$$= \$100/\text{yr}$$

$$\rightarrow \text{annual savings} = 1000 - 1000 + 100 - 600 = \$400/\text{yr}$$

$$\text{additional investment} = \$6,000 - 600 = \$5,400$$

$$\therefore \text{ROI} = \frac{400}{5400} \times 100 = 7.4\%$$

new

$$V_0 = \$6000$$

$$n = 10 \text{ yrs}$$

$$V_n = 0$$

$$\text{Labour \& maint reduced} = \$1,000$$

$$\text{annual expenses increased} = \$100$$

$$d = \frac{6000 - 0}{10} = \$600/\text{yr}$$

$$6- \quad V_0 = \$500,000 \rightarrow i_1 = 0.011$$

$$V_{\text{goods}} = \$400,000 \rightarrow i_2 = 0.0095$$

$$\rightarrow \text{sprinkling system} \rightarrow i_1 = 0.0825$$

$$i_2 = 0.07125$$

$$\text{cost of system} = \$20,000$$

$$\text{additional costs} = \$300/\text{yr}$$

$$n = 20 \text{ years}$$

$$\rightarrow \text{depreciation} = \frac{20,000 - 0}{20} = \$1,000$$

$$\therefore \text{additional investment} = \$20,000$$

$$\text{old annual insurance} = 0.011 \times 500,000 + 0.0095 \times 400,000 = \$9,300/\text{yr}$$

$$\therefore \text{savings in insurance} = \frac{1}{20} \times 9,300 = \$465/\text{yr}$$

$$\therefore \text{annual savings} = 9,325 - 1,000 - 300 = \$8,025$$

$$\therefore \text{ROI} = \frac{8025}{20,000} \times 100 = 40.125\% < 8\%$$

Batch system

$$NPW = -20,000 + \frac{5600}{(1+i)^1} + \frac{5600}{(1+i)^2} + \frac{5600}{(1+i)^3} + \dots + \frac{5600}{(1+i)^n}$$

check for $i = 0.25 \rightarrow NPW \approx 0 \rightarrow$ it's true value of DCFRR

Cont. system

$$NPW: -30,000 + \frac{7650}{(1+i)^1} + \frac{7650}{(1+i)^2} + \dots + \frac{7650}{(1+i)^n}$$

check for $i = 0.22 \rightarrow NPW \approx 0 \rightarrow$ it's true value of DCFRR

\rightarrow check NPW

$$\begin{aligned} @ i = 10\% \rightarrow NPW_{\text{cont}} &= \$17,006 \approx \$17,000 \\ NPW_{\text{batch}} &= \$14,409 \approx \$14,400 \end{aligned} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} @ i = 10\% \rightarrow NPW_{\text{cont}} &= \$17,006 \approx \$17,000 \\ NPW_{\text{batch}} &= \$14,409 \approx \$14,400 \end{aligned}} \right\} \text{True}$$

8.

larger pump.

$$NPW = -1600 + \frac{20,000}{1+i} \rightarrow \text{at } NPW = 0 \quad i = 11.5\% \approx 11.50\%$$

old pump

$$NPW = \frac{10,000}{1+i} + \frac{10,000}{(1+i)^2} \rightarrow \text{no way } NPW = 0$$

at 10%

large pump

$$NPW = \$16,580$$

old pump

$$NPW = \$17,355$$

In \$1600 additional capital

\$10,000 savings in yr 1

-\$10,000 " " 2

$$-1600 + \frac{10000}{1+i} - \frac{10000}{(1+i)^2} = 0 \rightarrow i = 25\% > 10\% \rightarrow \text{larger pump is better}$$

7. Contd

\$ 10,000 additional capital

\$ 2,050 savings/yr

$$-10,000 + 2050 \left(\frac{1}{(1+i)} + \frac{1}{(1+i)^2} + \frac{1}{(1+i)^3} + \dots + \frac{1}{(1+i)^n} \right) = 0$$

$i = 15.75\% > 10\%$ Cont. system is better

9. metal value = \$0.2/lb

Feed = 10^6 lb metal/yr

$n = 5$ years

| | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| → Capital inv. | \$ 25,000 | \$ 35,000 | \$ 44,000 | \$ 52,000 |
| Recovery % | 75 % | 95 % | 98 % | 99.5 % |
| metal recovered (lb/yr) | 750,000 | 950,000 | 980,000 | 995,000 |
| value of metal recovered \$/yr | \$ 150,000 | \$ 190,000 | \$ 196,000 | \$ 199,000 |
| depreciation | \$ 5,000 | \$ 7,000 | \$ 8,800 | \$ 10,400 |
| annual op. cost | \$ 6,000 | \$ 8,000 | \$ 10,000 | \$ 11,000 |
| Total annual expenses | \$ 11,000 | \$ 15,000 | \$ 18,800 | \$ 21,400 |
| → Total savings | \$ 139,000 | \$ 175,000 | \$ 177,200 | \$ 177,600 |

Compare 2 stages & 3 stages

$$ROI = \frac{175,000 - 139,000}{35,000 - 25,000} \times 100 = 360\%$$

3 stages is better

Compare ③ & ④ stages

$$ROI = \frac{177,200 - 175,000}{44,000 - 35,000} \times 100 = 24.4\% > 15\%$$

4 stages is better

Compare ④ & ⑤ stages

$$ROI = \frac{177,600 - 177,100}{52,000 - 44,000} \times 100 = 5\% < 15\%$$

4 stages is best choice

Feed = 450,000 lb salt/day 5% NaOH → 40% NaOH

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| → Fixed Cost | \$ 18,000 | \$ 36,000 | \$ 54,000 | \$ 72,000 | \$ 90,000 |
| depreciation yr | \$ 1,200 | \$ 2,400 | \$ 3,600 | \$ 4,800 | \$ 6,000 |
| Fixed charges (%) | 20% | 20% | 20% | 20% | 20% |
| Fixed charges (\$/yr) | \$ 3,600 | \$ 7,200 | \$ 10,800 | \$ 14,400 | \$ 18,000 |
| steam Cost | \$ 78,750 | \$ 39,375 | \$ 26,250 | \$ 17,687.5 | \$ 15,750 |
| → annual Harvy cost | \$ 82,350 | \$ 46,575 | \$ 37,050 | \$ 34,087.5 | \$ 33,750 |

mass of water evapn
lb st

$$= 0.9 X$$

mass of water evap.

$$\downarrow$$

$$* \text{ initial mass of NaOH} = 450,000 \times 0.05$$

$$= 22,500 \text{ lb/day}$$

$$* \text{ final mass of salt} = 22,500 \times \frac{40}{40}$$

$$= 22,500 \times \frac{40}{40}$$

$$= 156.25 \text{ lb/day}$$

$$* \text{ mass of water evap/d}$$

$$= 427,500 - 33,750$$

$$= 393,750 \text{ lb/day}$$

$$* \text{ mass of steam needed}$$

$$= 393,750 / 0.9 X$$

$$= \frac{437,500}{X} \text{ lb/day}$$

$$* \text{ Cost of steam} =$$

$$= \frac{437,500}{X} \times \frac{0.6}{1000}$$

$$= \frac{262.5}{X} \text{ /day}$$

$$= \$ \frac{78,750}{X} \text{ /yr}$$

* Compare ① & ② effects

$$ROI = \frac{82,350 - 46,575}{26,000 - 18,000} \times 100 = 198.75\% > 15\%$$

* Compare ② & ③ effects

$$ROI = \frac{46,575 - 37,050}{54,000 - 36,000} \times 100 = 52.92\% > 15\%$$

* Compare ③ & ④ effects

$$ROI = \frac{37,050 - 34,087.5}{72,000 - 54,000} \times 100 = 16.46\% > 15\%$$

* Compare ④ & ⑤ effects

$$ROI = \frac{34,087.5 - 33,750}{90,000 - 72,000} \times 100 = 1.875\% < 15\%$$

* effects optimum on